

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,279	MILLARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Kurt Ehresman.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 March 2006

Time: 10am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3,25,27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to the amendments of claims 3.25. and 27 as noted in the examiner's amendment to remove issues of new matter and correct typing errors.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)